

REMARKS:

Specification Objections

The specification is objected to as being replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Applicant hereby submits a substitute specification attached at Tab A. The corrections made to the specification are to correct grammatical inconsistencies and improve syntax and thus believed to include no new matter. To comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.125(b)(2), Applicants also submit a marked-up copy of the substitute specification attached at Tab B showing the matter being added to and the matter being deleted from the specification of record. In the substitute specification, the Internet address indications have also been corrected. It is believed that the attached substitute specification obviates the objections to the original specification.

Restriction/Election Requirement

In the above amendment, newly added claims 40-75 are withdrawn from consideration. But Applicant's intention is clear that Applicant wishes claims 40-75 to be examined, rather than claims 1-39. In the above amendment, Applicant has added claim 76, which is claim 1 submitted in the amendment dated August 18, 2005. Since no change is made to claim 76 or claim 1, the claim should be rejected on the same grounds as noted in the Office Action mailed November 4, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reject claim 76 and make the rejection final so that Applicant can file a RCE to have claims 40-75 examined.

Respectfully submitted,

October 31, 2006

Date

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. Box 10395
Chicago, IL 60610
(312) 321-4200


Tadashi Horie (Reg. No. 40,437)